College of Science and Engineering

Carnegie & College Vacation Scholarships

Minutes of a meeting held on
Tuesday 25th March 2008 at 10.00am
in the Hodgson Room, Weir Building, King’s Buildings

Present:  
  Nick Hulton (Convener)
  Tony Gilbert
  Gareth Harrison
  Kate Heal
  Anita Jones

In attendance:  
  Linda Archibald (Secretary)

1. Minutes of the meeting held on 23rd March 2007
   The minutes were noted and approved as a correct record.

2. Matters arising from the minutes.
   There were no matters arising.

3. Applications for Carnegie and College Vacation Scholarships 2008

3.1 Carnegie Vacation Scholarships
   The committee nominated eight students as shown in the appendix.
   11 students were unsuccessful in their application for a Carnegie Vacation Scholarship, as listed in the appendix.

   The College Office would write to the unsuccessful candidates.

3.2 College Vacation Scholarships
   A total of 20 students applied for a College Vacation Scholarship. Eight students were ranked in priority order as shown on the appendix.

   It was agreed that
   - the top four students would be informed of their success immediately as the Committee did not propose to put forward Carnegie candidates in these places.
   - One further place would be held until the outcome of the Carnegie Vacation Scholarship was known before contacting the student.
   - The Committee would hold the remaining three students in reserve.
Twelve students were unsuccessful as listed in the appendix. The College Office would write to these candidates.

3. **Student Reports Received**

Reports from all 2007 had been received and added to the web site.

4. **Any Other Business**

5.1 It was felt that some of the project descriptions were still very weak. It was agreed that clearer guidance should be given on the completion of this part of the application.

**ACTION NH**

5.2 Applications were still being received from students with minimal grades. A sentence should be included which states that ‘applications should be from strong first class students’ and that submissions from non-first class students ‘would only be considered in exceptional circumstances’

**ACTION CO**

5.3 It was recommended that the description of ‘academic benefits’ should be written by the student themselves.

5.4 It was recommended that applications should only be considered by students who have evidence of a full year’s academic record.